## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Keith Anthony Jones,

Case No. 1:18-cv-02156-TLW

**PLAINTIFF** 

v.

Kathy White, Medical Staff Supervisor; et al.,

**DEFENDANTS** 

Order

Plaintiff Keith Anthony Jones, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil action alleging violations of his constitutional rights pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. ECF No. 1. The matter now comes before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) filed by the magistrate judge to whom this case was assigned. ECF No. 22.

After reviewing the Complaint, the magistrate judge issued an order notifying Plaintiff of deficiencies in his complaint and permitting him to file an amended complaint. He failed to respond to the order or otherwise cure the deficiencies outlined. In the Report, the magistrate judge recommends that Plaintiff's case be dismissed under Rule 41(b) for the reasons discussed in her prior order and for failure to comply with a court order, despite being informed of the potential consequences of doing so. Plaintiff did not file objections to the Report. This matter is now ripe for decision.

The Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify,

1:18-cv-02156-TLW Date Filed 04/22/20 Entry Number 31 Page 2 of 2

in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636.

In the absence of objections to the Report, the Court is not required to give any

explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198,

200 (4th Cir. 1983). In such a case, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review,

but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident

Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory

committee's note).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report. For the reasons stated by the

magistrate judge, the Report, ECF No. 22, is ACCEPTED. This action is hereby

DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten

Terry L. Wooten

Senior United States District Judge

April 22, 2020

Columbia, South Carolina

2